

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Palent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandris, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/816,004	03/22/2001	Masakazu Suzuoki	SCEI 3.0-054	5183
530	7590 12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			MANIWANG, JOSEPH R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	D, NJ 07090	•	2144	
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/816,004	SUZUOKI ET AL.		
Examiner	A-411-14		
LAMINIO	Art Unit		

	Joseph R. Maniwang	2144	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED <u>13 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evidel compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	•	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final reject	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06 <sub>.</sub> 07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr pinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ooddoo
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	·		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 28-45.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: 4.	•		•
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5-8,10-18,20-27,46,48-55 and 57-t</u>	<u>63</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an			
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	- ·		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	ot door NOT where the confication i	n aanditian fan allawa	-2
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. 12. A Note the officer of left months of the continuation of the contin	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul><li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13.  Other:</li></ul>	(P10/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
		WILLIAM VAUGHN	M
	SUPERI TECL	ISORY PATENT FXAM	INER
	TEUN	INOLOGY CENTER 24	$\mathcal{L}$

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments regarding the rejected claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Breslau (U.S. Pat. No. 6,421,736) are not persuasive. Applicant generally asserts that Breslau does not teach a software cell comprising "information for routing the software cell over the network". Examiner maintains the position set for in the Final Rejection and submits that Breslau clearly teaches such a feature since routing based on the identifier of the object (see column 8, lines 4-54) was possible, each software cell therefore including information (i.e., identifier) for routing the cell over the network as broadly claimed.

WILLIAM VAUGHN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

2